IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE, ANDHRA PRADESH
AT HYDERABAD

(Special Original Jurisdiction)

TUESDAY, THE FIFTH DAY OF OCTOBER
TWO THOUSAND AND FOUR

PRESENT

THE HON'BLE MR JUSTICE A.GOPAL REDDY

WRIT PETITION NO: 13141 of 2004

Between:

Voona Surya Prakash Gupta S/o.Late Adinarayana
R/o. D.No.4-64-7/23, Flat No.405 Suvarna Apartments, Lawsons Bay Colony
Visakhapatnam.

... PETITIONER

AND

1 Govt. of A.P. rep. by its Secretary, Municipal Administration and Urban

Development Department, Secretariat, Hyderabad.
2 The Director, Municipal Administration Department at Hyderabad.
3 The Commissioner, Visakhapatnam Municipal Corporation, Visakhapatnam.

...RESPONDENTS

Petition under Article 226 of the constitution of India praying that in the circumstances stated in the Affidavit filed herein the High Court may be pleased to issue any appropriate writ, order or direction preferably a writ in the nature of writ of mandamus, declaring the inaction of the respondents in not paying the amount of Rs.9,09,800/- being differential amount in the exchange of land, together with interest and solacium and not disposing the representations/petitioners of the petitioner, including the representation dt.27.10.2003 as being arbitrary, illegal and violative of Article 14 of the Constitution of India and consequently direct the respondents to dispose of the application dt.27.10.2003 submitted by the petitioner.

Counsel for the Petitioner: MR.C.H.SATISH KUMAR

Counsel for the Respondents 1 and 2: GP FOR MUNCIPAL ADMN. & URBAN

DEV.

Counsel for Respondent No.3: Mr. T.S. Venkataramana

The Court at the admission stage made the following:

ORDER:

The only submission made by the learned counsel for petitioner is that the petitioner made a detailed representation dated 27-10-2003, which has not yet been disposed of. It is his case that certain lands purchased by the petitioner were taken possession of by the Municipal Corporation of Visakhapatnam by negotiations for the development of Sivaji Park, Visakhapatnam in exchange of another land, but they are not giving delivery of possession of the property with benefits for F.S.I. etc.

2. In view of the same, representation said to have been made by the petitioner has to be considered and appropriate orders have to be passed. Learned standing counsel has no objection to consider the representation and dispose of the same. Accordingly respondents are hereby directed to consider the said representation of the petitioner and pass appropriate orders, within a period of six weeks from the date of receipt of a copy of this order, after giving notice to the petitioner.

The Writ Petition is accordingly disposed of. No costs.

_____

A. **GOPAL REDDY, J**

October 5, 2004

MRR

ASSISTANT REGISTRAR

To:

1 Secretary, Govt. of A.P., Municipal Administration and Urban

Development Department, Secretariat, Hyderabad.
2 The Director, Municipal Administration Department at Hyderabad.
3 Two C.C. s to the G.P. for Municipal Admn. and Urban Development, High

Court Buildings, Hyderabad (OUT).

4 Two C.D. Copies.